# CYCLOTOMY AND DELTA UNITS

### ANDREW J. LAZARUS

To the memory of Derrick Henry Lehmer

ABSTRACT. In this paper we examine cyclic cubic, quartic, and quintic number fields of prime conductor p containing units that bear a special relationship to the classical Gaussian periods:  $\eta_j - \eta_{j+1} + c$  is a unit for periods  $\eta_j$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [10], Emma Lehmer discovered that certain well-known families of cubic and quartic fields contained *translation units*, where a translation unit  $\theta$  differs from a Gaussian period  $\eta$  by a rational integer. She then presented a family of quintic fields with the same property. Schoof and Washington [11] proved the converse of Lehmer's results for cubic fields and those quartic fields in which all units have norm +1.

Later D. H. and Emma Lehmer became interested in a cyclotomy where the Gaussian period  $\eta$  was replaced by the difference  $\delta_j$  of two periods  $\eta_j - \eta_{j+1}$ . We will show that the fields with analogously-defined delta units are, in the cubic and quartic cases, the same as those already known. In Lehmer's quintic case the situation is more complicated because the ordering of the  $\eta$ 's is not unique. The Lehmers observed without proof in [9] that only half of the primitive roots mod p induce an ordering of the  $\eta$ 's which give a delta unit in the quintic field of conductor p. We investigate this phenomenon.

### 2. Definitions

The cyclotomic classes of degree e and prime conductor p = ef + 1 are

$$\mathscr{C}_j = \{g^{e\nu+j} \mod p : \nu = 0, \dots, f-1\}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, e-1,$$

where g is any primitive root mod p. Here,  $\mathcal{C}_0$  contains the eth-power residues, but the ordering of the other classes depends upon the choice of g. The Gaussian periods  $\eta$  are defined by

(2.1) 
$$\eta_j = \sum_{\nu \in \mathscr{C}_j} \zeta_p^{\nu}, \qquad j = 0, \dots, e-1,$$

© 1993 American Mathematical Society 0025-5718/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editor June 26, 1992.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R27, 11R16, 11R20; Secondary 11L99. Key words and phrases. Units, cyclotomy, Gaussian periods.

where  $\zeta_p = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ . The Lagrange resolvent  $\tau$ , sometimes called a Gauss sum, of a character  $\chi$  of order e (e.g.,  $\chi$  is a complex-valued *e*th-power residue symbol) is

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \chi(j) \zeta_p^j.$$

When  $\chi$  is taken to be the character defined by  $\chi(g) = \zeta_e$ , the well-known fundamental relations between Gaussian periods and Lagrange resolvents are given by

(2.2) 
$$\tau(\chi^{j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{e-1} \zeta_{e}^{jk} \eta_{k}, \qquad \eta_{k} = e^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{e-1} \zeta_{e}^{-jk} \tau(\chi^{j}).$$

The delta cyclotomy is defined by

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \delta_j = \eta_j - \eta_{j+1}.$$

Here and throughout, indices of  $\eta$  and  $\delta$  should be understood mod e; when omitted, we mean to refer to any  $\eta$  or  $\delta$ 's. The different orderings of the  $\eta$ 's induce different values of the  $\delta$ 's.

A unit  $\theta$  such that  $\theta = \eta + c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called a *translation unit*. If  $\theta = \delta + c$  for some  $\delta$  defined by (2.3), then  $\theta$  is a generalized delta unit; if  $\theta = \delta \pm 1$ , then  $\theta$  is a delta unit.

### 3. CUBIC FIELDS

Since the conductor  $p \equiv 1 \mod 6$ , we have the well-known decomposition

$$4p = L^2 + 27M^2$$
,  $L \equiv 1 \mod 3$ ,  $M > 0$ .

We may assume that g is chosen such that [5, Proposition 1]

(3.1) 
$$g^{(p-1)/3} \equiv (L+9M)/(L-9M) \mod p.$$

**Theorem 1.** If K is a cyclic cubic field of prime conductor p, the following are equivalent:

- (i) M = 1, so K is a simplest cubic as defined by Shanks [12].
- (ii) K has a translation unit.
- (iii) K has a delta unit.
- (iv) K has a generalized delta unit.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$ ((ii) & (iii)): Shanks showed that the polynomials

(3.2) 
$$Y^3 - \frac{L-3}{2}Y^2 - \frac{L+3}{2}Y - 1 = \prod_{j=0}^2 (Y - \theta_j)$$

generate the cubic fields with M = 1. Emma Lehmer showed that  $\eta + (L-1)/6$  is one of the units  $\theta$  [10]. The Lehmers showed in [9] that if M = 1, then  $\delta - 1$  is a unit.

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$$
: Trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): This is shown in [11].

296

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$ : We can find the minimal polynomial  $Irr_{\mathbb{Q}}\delta$  from the definition (2.3) and the *cyclotomic numbers* of order 3. These are defined (for fixed g) by

$$(h, k) = \#\{\nu \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* : \nu \in \mathscr{C}_h^{(g)}, \nu + 1 \in \mathscr{C}_k^{(g)}\}.$$

There are a number of well-known general formulas satisfied by the cyclotomic numbers (see, e.g., [1, 13]), including

(3.3)  

$$\eta_a \eta_{a+k} = \epsilon^{(k)} f + \sum_{h=0}^{e-1} (h, k) \eta_{a+h},$$

$$\epsilon^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0, f \text{ even, or } k = e/2, f \text{ odd}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}.$$

The cyclotomic numbers for e = 3 were determined in principle by Gauss. For g normalized by (3.1), we have [5, Proposition 1, misprint corrected]

$$\begin{array}{l} (00) = (p-8+L)/9, \\ (11) = (20) = (02) = (2p-4-L-9M)/18, \\ (01) = (10) = (22) = (2p-4-L+9M)/18, \\ (12) = (21) = (p+1+L)/9. \end{array}$$

It is now a routine computation to find that

$$\operatorname{Irr}_{\mathbb{O}}\delta = X^3 - pX + Mp.$$

We are therefore looking to solve

(3.4) 
$$N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K}(\delta + c) = c^{3} - p(c + M) = \pm 1.$$

If c = -1, it is immediate that the only solution is M = 1 and a norm of -1. If c = 1, there are no units. First, p = 7 (where M = 1) can be checked as a special case. For p > 7, we have  $1 - p + M < 1 + 2\sqrt{p} - p < -1$ . This shows (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

Generalized delta units of norm +1 would be, from (3.4), solutions to

$$(c-1)(c^{2}+c+1) = p(c+M).$$

Since p is prime, it divides one of the factors on the left. If

$$(3.5) dp = c^2 + c + 1,$$

then

(3.6) 
$$d(c-1) = c + M.$$

Isolating M, gives

(3.7) 
$$M = cd - c - d = (c - 1)(d - 1) - 1.$$

From (3.5) and p > 0 we have d > 0. Combining this with (3.7) and M > 0 forces  $d \ge 2$  and  $c \ge 2$ . When c = 2, hence p = 7 and M = 1, (3.6) is not satisfied. When c = 3, then d = 1, a contradiction. When c = 4, then p = 7 and d = 3, which gives M = -5, also a contradiction. Therefore, we

may assume  $c \ge 5$ . Starting from (3.5), we have

$$dp < 2c^2 \Rightarrow L^2 + 27M^2 < \frac{8c^2}{d} \Rightarrow M < \frac{2\sqrt{2c}}{3\sqrt{3d}} < \frac{5c}{9}.$$

Plugging this back into (3.6), we have

$$d(c-1) < \frac{14c}{5} \Rightarrow d < \frac{14c}{5(c-1)} < 2$$

(since  $c \ge 5$ ), a contradiction.

Now suppose

$$(3.8) dp = c - 1,$$

so

(3.9) 
$$M = d(c^2 + c + 1) - c.$$

If c = 1, we would have from (3.8) that d = 0 and then from (3.9), M = -1, impossible. Moreover, sgn d = sgn c by (3.8). When both are negative,

$$M < d(c^{2} + c + 1) + dc = d(c + 1)^{2} \le 0,$$

a contradiction. For c > 1, we must have that  $c \ge 8$ , since  $p \ge 7$ . Now

$$p \le dp < c \Rightarrow M^2 < \frac{4c}{27} \Rightarrow M < \sqrt{c}$$

Combining this with (3.9) gives the inequality  $c^2 + 1 < \sqrt{c}$ , which never holds. Hence, there are no generalized delta units of norm +1.

For the norm -1 case we are looking for solutions to

$$(c+1)(c^2-c+1) = p(c+M).$$

Proceeding similarly to the positive-norm case, we first consider the possibility that  $dp = c^2 - c + 1$  and M = cd - c + d = (c + 1)(d - 1) + 1. As before, d > 0. If d = 1, we see that M = 1 is a solution to (3.4), regardless of c. From now on, assume d > 1. If  $c \le 2$ , then either p < 7 or M < 0, which are impossible. Assume  $c \ge 3$ . Then

$$dp < 2c^2 \Rightarrow M < \frac{2\sqrt{2}c}{3\sqrt{3d}} \Rightarrow d(c+1) < \frac{14c}{5} \Rightarrow d < \frac{14c}{9(c+1)} < 2,$$

contradicting the assumption  $d \ge 2$ .

The remaining case is dp = c + 1. We have  $M = d(c^2 - c + 1) - c$ . If c = -1, then d = 0 and M = 1, a solution to (3.4). If c < -1, then d < 0. Now

$$M = d(c^{2} - c + 1) - c < d(c^{2} - c + 1) + dc < d(c^{2} + 1) < 0,$$

a contradiction. It remains to check only  $c \ge 0$ . Immediately we get d > 0. But then, as with dp = c - 1, we quickly get a contradiction:

$$p < dp < 2c \Rightarrow M < \sqrt{c} \Rightarrow c^2 - c + 1 < c + \sqrt{c}$$
,

and since  $c \ge 6$ , this, too, is impossible.  $\Box$ 

298

We found all solutions to (3.4) during the proof of the theorem and summarize this result.

**Corollary 3.1.** All generalized delta units have norm -1. If  $M \neq 1$ , there are no generalized delta units. If M = 1, then  $\delta - 1$  is a unit. If, in addition, there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p = c^2 - c + 1$ , then  $\delta + c$  and  $\delta - (c - 1)$  are also units.

Shanks [12] showed that when M = 1, the group generated by -1 and any two of the units  $\theta_j$  in (3.2) is the full unit group, and that Galois action on the units  $\theta$  is given by the map  $\theta \to -(\theta + 1)^{-1}$ . Since  $\eta_0$  is invariant under choice of g, we fix  $\theta_0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** The ordering of the  $\eta$  induced by  $\theta_0 = \eta_0 - (L+1)/6$  and Shanks's map  $\theta_{j+1} = -(\theta_j + 1)^{-1}$  coincides with the ordering obtained by (2.1) and (3.1).

Proof. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\eta_1 + (L-1)/6)(\eta_0 + (L+5)/6) \\ &= \frac{1}{36}(36\,\eta_0\eta_1 + 6\,\eta_1L + 30\,\eta_1 + 6\,L\eta_0 + L^2 + 4\,L - 6\,\eta_0 - 5) \\ &= \frac{1}{36}\,(4\,\eta_0p + 10\,\eta_0 - 2\,\eta_0L + 4\,\eta_1p - 26\,\eta_1 - 2\,\eta_1L + 4\,\eta_2p + 4\,\eta_2 + 4\,\eta_2L) \\ &= -1\,, \end{aligned}$$

expanding  $\eta_0\eta_1$  by (3.3) and substituting in  $\eta_2 = -1 - \eta_0 - \eta_1$  and  $p = (L^2 + 27)/4$ . Therefore,  $\theta_1 = -(\theta_0 + 1)^{-1}$ . Applying Galois action to both sides proves the general case.  $\Box$ 

Hasse [4] wrote elements of cyclic cubic fields as [x, y], where

$$[x, y] = x - y\tau(\chi) - \overline{y\tau(\chi)} \in K,$$
$$x \in \mathbb{Z}, \ y \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_3], \ \chi(\cdot) = \left(\frac{\cdot}{(L + 3\sqrt{-3}M)/2}\right)_{\underline{z}}$$

He normalized Galois action so that  $[x, y] \rightarrow [x, \zeta_3 y]$ . (Warning: Hasse used  $L \equiv -1 \mod 3$ .)

**Proposition 3.3.** Shanks's map is the inverse of Galois action as normalized by Hasse.

*Proof.* It is evident from the relations (2.2) that Hasse's map takes

$$\eta_0 = (1 + \tau(\chi) + \tau(\bar{\chi}))/3 \to (1 + \zeta_3 \tau(\chi) + \zeta_3^2 \tau(\bar{\chi}))/3 = \eta_2$$

whereas the previous proposition shows that Shanks's map increments the index of  $\eta$ .  $\Box$ 

**Delta units and the choice of** g. Fix, for the moment, the choice of g. In general, redefining the periods using a generator  $g' \in \mathscr{C}_{j}^{(g)}$  yields  $\eta'_{\nu} = \eta_{\nu j}$ . If  $g' \in \mathscr{C}_{-1}^{(g)}$ , then  $\delta'_{\nu} = -\delta_{e-\nu}$ . Therefore, in looking for delta units,  $\mathscr{C}_{j}^{(g)}$  and  $\mathscr{C}_{-j}^{(g)}$  can be paired, so  $\phi(e)/2$  essentially distinct delta polynomials must be considered. Therefore, when e < 5, the existence of delta units does not depend on the choice of g. For cubic fields, choosing a primitive root from the

other class of cubic nonresidues  $\mathscr{C}_2$  changes the signs of  $\delta$ , c, and the norm of the delta units.

## 4. QUARTIC FIELDS

Because we are interested in both cyclotomy and units, we will consider only the real fields, where  $p \equiv 1 \mod 8$ . (The unit groups of the imaginary quartic fields are generated, up to torsion, by quadratic units.) Here we will use the normalization

$$p = a^2 + b^2$$
,  $b \equiv 0 \mod 4$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $a \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ,

and a primitive root g is chosen (per [7]) with

(4.1) 
$$g^{(p-1)/4} \equiv a/b \mod p.$$

**Theorem 2.** If K is a real cyclic quartic field of prime conductor p, the following are equivalent:

- (i) b = 4, so K is a simplest quartic field as defined by Gras [3].
- (ii) K has a translation unit of norm +1.
- (iii) K has a delta unit.
- (iv) K has a generalized delta unit of norm +1.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$ ((ii) & (iii)): Emma Lehmer showed that if b = 4, then  $-\eta + (a-1)/4$  is a root of the Gras quartic polynomial [3]

(4.2) 
$$Y^4 - aY^3 - 6Y^2 + aY + 1,$$

so it is a unit of norm +1 [10, equation (4.5), corrected]. The Lehmers later showed that if b = 4, then either  $\delta + 1$  or  $\delta - 1$  is a unit [9], without determining which sign held for a particular g.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$ ((iv) & (i)): Since Hasse's [4] normalization for quartic fields agrees with ours, we will use it to obtain  $\operatorname{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta$ . The symbol  $[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1]$  will represent the element of K given by

$$[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1] = \frac{1}{4}(x_0 - x_1\sqrt{p} + (y_0 + iy_1)\tau(\chi) + (y_0 - iy_1)\tau(\overline{\chi})),$$

where  $\chi$  is the quartic character belonging to K, viz., the quartic residue symbol  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{a+bi}\right)_4$ . (Condition (4.1) is equivalent to  $\chi(g) = i$  [7].) A general formula for the minimal polynomial of any element written in this way appears in [8] (or see Gras [3]). From (2.2),

$$\delta_0 = \eta_0 - \eta_1 = [-1, -1, 1, 0] - [-1, 1, 0, -1] = [0, -2, 1, 1].$$

The minimal polynomial formula now gives

$$\operatorname{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta = Y^4 - p(Y+b')^2, \qquad b' = b/4,$$

whence

(4.3) 
$$N_{\mathbb{O}}^{K}(\delta + c) = c^{4} - p(b' - c)^{2}.$$

Immediately we have  $c = 1 \Rightarrow b = 4$  and norm +1; c = -1 is impossible.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Proven in [11].

$$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$$
: From (4.3), units of norm +1 will be solutions to

(4.4) 
$$c^4 - 1 = (c+1)(c-1)(c^2+1) = p(b'-c)^2.$$

There are no primes  $\equiv 1 \mod 8$  dividing the left side for  $c = \pm 2, \pm 3$ , and when  $c = \pm 4$ , the prime p = 17 divides the left side, but p = 17 implies b' = 1 and (4.4) is not satisfied. The cases  $c = \pm 1$  have been handled above, so we may assume  $|c| \ge 5$ .

Supposing, first, that dp = c + 1, we have  $b' = c \pm \sqrt{d(c-1)(c^2+1)}$ . The minus root gives b' < 0, impossible. The plus root gives  $b' > |c|^{3/2} + c > |c|^{3/2}/4$ . Then  $b > |c|^{3/2}$ , so  $p > |c|^3$ . Since  $(b'-c)^2 > \frac{124}{125}|c|^3$ , we are reduced to the inequality  $c^4 > \frac{124}{125}c^6$ , which is never true for  $|c| \ge 5$ . The case dp = c - 1 is virtually identical. The case  $dp = c^2 + 1$  is similar. Here,  $b' = c \pm \sqrt{d(c^2-1)}$ . Since  $b' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c \neq \pm 1$ , we cannot have d = 1, so the minus root is impossible. Then

$$b' > \frac{\sqrt{24}(\sqrt{2}-1)}{5}|c| > \frac{2|c|}{5} \Rightarrow p > \frac{64}{25}c^2 \Rightarrow c^4 - 1 = p(b'-c)^2 > 3c^4,$$

which again has no solution.  $\Box$ 

We have also proved en passant:

**Corollary 4.1.** A generalized delta unit of norm +1 is a delta unit with c = 1. If  $\theta = \delta \pm 1$  is a delta unit, then b = 4, the plus sign holds, and  $N_{\oplus}^{K}\theta = 1$ .

Gras showed that Galois action on the roots  $\theta$  of (4.2) is given by  $\theta_{j+1} = (\theta_j - 1)/(\theta_j + 1)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** The ordering of the  $\eta$  induced by  $\theta_0 = -\eta_0 + (a-1)/4$  and Gras's map  $\theta_{j+1} = (\theta_j - 1)/(\theta_j + 1)$  coincides with the ordering obtained by (2.1) and (4.1). Gras's map is the inverse of Galois action as normalized by Hasse.

*Proof.* The identity  $\theta_1(\theta_0 + 1) = \theta_0 - 1$ , which suffices to prove the first statement, was verified using the rule for multiplication in Hasse's basis [4, §8(1)]. Hasse normalized Galois action so that  $[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1] \rightarrow [x_0, -x_1, -y_1, y_0]$ , and the proof of the second statement is analogous to Proposition 3.3.  $\Box$ 

*Remarks.* (1) Choosing a generator from the other class of nonresidues  $\mathscr{C}_3$  changes the sign of all  $\delta$ , hence c.

(2) The only known example of a translation unit of norm -1 is  $\eta - 2$  in the field of conductor 401 [11]. This field does not contain a generalized delta unit. The only generalized delta unit of norm -1 which we have found is  $\delta + 2$  in the field of conductor 17, which also contains delta units; no others can exist for  $c^4 + 1$  squarefree.

#### 5. QUINTIC FIELDS

Dickson showed [2] that the conductor  $p \equiv 1 \mod 5$  may be decomposed as

$$16p = x^2 + 50u^2 + 50v^2 + 125w^2,$$

subject to

$$xw = v^2 - 4uv - u^2, \qquad x \equiv 1 \mod 5.$$

If (x, u, v, w) is one solution to this system, the others are (x, -v, u, -w), (x, v, -u, -w), and (x, -u, -v, w). If g is a primitive root mod p, Katre and Rajwade proved in [6] that (x, u, v, w) can be defined unambiguously, given g, by the additional condition

(5.1) 
$$g^{(p-1)/5} \equiv (a-10b)/(a+10b) \mod p, \qquad a = x^2 - 125w^2, \\ b = 2xu - xv - 25vw.$$

Conversely, if a choice of (x, u, v, w) is fixed, primitive roots g in only one of the four classes of quintic nonresidues in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  will satisfy (5.1). The cyclotomic numbers for such g are given by

$$(00) = (p - 14 + 3x)/25,$$

$$(01) = (10) = (44) = (4p - 16 - 3x + 50v + 25w)/100,$$

$$(02) = (20) = (33) = (4p - 16 - 3x + 50u - 25w)/100,$$

$$(03) = (30) = (22) = (4p - 16 - 3x - 50u - 25w)/100,$$

$$(04) = (40) = (11) = (4p - 16 - 3x - 50v + 25w)/100,$$

$$(12) = (21) = (34) = (43) = (14) = (41) = (2p + 2 + x - 25w)/50,$$

$$(13) = (31) = (23) = (32) = (24) = (42) = (2p + 2 + x + 25w)/50.$$

If we set  $\delta_j = \eta_j - \eta_{j+1}$ , we have, by direct computation,

(5.3)  

$$Irr_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta = \Delta(Y) = Y^{5} - Y^{3}p + Y^{2}vp + \frac{p\left((3u+v)\left(u-v\right)+5w^{2}\right)Y}{4} + \frac{p\left(u(u-v)^{2}+(3u-4v)w^{2}\right)}{4}.$$

In the quintic case, defining the periods  $\eta'$  with  $g' \in \mathscr{C}_2^{(g)}$  effects the substitution  $(x, u, v, w) \to (x, -v, u, -w)$ . Hence, the minimal polynomial of  $\delta'_j = \eta'_j - \eta'_{j+1} = \eta_{2j} - \eta_{2(j+1)}$  is given by

(5.4)  
$$\Delta'(Y) = Y^{5} - Y^{3}p + Y^{2}up + \frac{p((3v - u)(v + u) + 5w^{2})Y}{4} - \frac{p(v(v + u)^{2} + (3v + 4u)w^{2})}{4}.$$

The quintic analogue to a simplest field was given by Emma Lehmer in [10]. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  set

$$u = n + 1$$
,  $v = n + 2$ ,  $w = \left(\frac{n}{5}\right)_2$ ,

from which it follows that  $x = -(\frac{n}{5})_2(4n^2 + 10n + 5)$  and

(5.5) 
$$p = n^4 + 5n^3 + 15n^2 + 25n + 25.$$

Lehmer showed that

(5.6) 
$$\theta = w\eta - (w - n^2)/5$$

is a translation unit up to sign.

The normalization (5.1) of g reduces to

(5.7) 
$$g^{(p-1)/5} \equiv (a-10b)/(a+10b) \mod p,$$
$$a = 4(4n^4 + 30n^2 + 25), \quad b = -2\left(\frac{n}{5}\right)_2(2n^3 + 20n + 25)$$

**Theorem 3.** Suppose p is of type (5.5) and g is chosen such that (5.7) holds. Then  $\delta - 1$  is a unit. If  $p \neq 11$ ,

- (i)  $\delta 1$  is the only generalized delta unit, and
- (ii)  $\delta' + c$  is never a unit.

*Proof.* For such p,  $\Delta(Y)$  reduces to

$$\begin{split} Y^5 - pY^3 + p(n+2)Y^2 - pnY - p \\ &= 1 + (Y-1)(Y^4 + Y^3 - (p-1)Y^2 + [p(n+1)+1]Y + p + 1). \end{split}$$

Clearly,  $\delta - 1$  is a unit of norm -1. The equations  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K}(\delta - c) \pm 1 = \Delta(c) \pm 1 = 0$  may be considered as quintic polynomials in c. The lack of integer solutions to the unit equations may be proved by locating their irrational solutions between consecutive integers. If  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\Delta(c) + 1$  has a root in each open interval  $(\hat{c}, \hat{c} + 1)$  for

$$\hat{c} \in \{-n^2 - 3n - 6, -1, 0, n + 1, n^2 + 2n + 3\}.$$

In each case,  $sgn(\Delta(\hat{c}) + 1) \neq sgn(\Delta(\hat{c} + 1) + 1)$ . This accounts for all five roots, so there are no generalized delta units when  $n \ge 1$ . The polynomial  $\Delta(c) - 1$  has an exact root at c = 1 instead of an irrational root in (0, 1); otherwise, its four irrational roots are located in the same intervals. Similar results hold for n < -3. The case n = -3 yields no solutions for c, which leaves only p = 11. Hence (i). For the proof of (ii), replace  $\Delta$  by  $\Delta'$  and proceed in the same way.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5.1.** Take x, u, v, w, p, a, and b as above and define the periods with an arbitrary primitive root g. If p = 11, all g define an ordering such that  $\Delta(Y)$  has delta units. Otherwise,  $\Delta(Y)$  has delta units if and only if g satisfies

$$g^{(p-1)/5} \equiv \left(\frac{a-10b}{a+10b}\right)^{\pm 1} \mod p.$$

These are the g in two (i.e., half) of the four nonresidue classes.

*Proof.* This is immediate from the theorem and (5.1).  $\Box$ 

The field of conductor 11 is a special case. It is of type (5.5) with either n = -2 or n = -1. (One can show that 11 is the only integer represented

#### A. J. LAZARUS

nonuniquely by the polynomial (5.5).) The period polynomial for p = 11 is

$$Y^5 + Y^4 - 4Y^3 - 3Y^2 + 3Y + 1$$
,

so the periods  $\eta$  are themselves units. Also  $\eta \pm 1$  and  $\eta + 2$  are Galoisconjugate units (but not conjugate to  $\eta$ ). Choosing to use n = -2, we have from (5.3) and (5.4) that  $\delta - 1$ ,  $\delta + 2$ ,  $\delta - 3$ ,  $\delta' \pm 1$ , and  $\delta' + 2$  are all units, no two conjugate.

The converse of Theorem 3 is false. In the field of conductor 211 using (x, u, v, w) = (1, 1, 2, -5),  $\delta - 1$  is a unit of norm -1. There is a generalized delta unit  $\delta - 3$  for p = 61 and (x, u, v, w) = (1, 1, 4, -1).

Schoof and Washington showed that Galois action on the quintic translation units (5.6) can be given by

(5.8) 
$$\theta \to \frac{(n+2)+n\theta-\theta^2}{1+(n+2)\theta}.$$

When g satisfies (5.7), then (5.6) induces an ordering of the  $\theta_j$ . The method of Proposition 3.2 can be used to show that with this ordering the image of  $\theta_0$  under (5.8) is  $\theta_2$  when w = 1, and  $\theta_3$  when w = -1. In [11], the map (5.8) was derived from (5.6) and the canonical ordering of the  $\eta_j$ , but we have changed the normalization of (x, u, v, w) from [10] and [11]. The normalizations (3.1), (4.1), and (5.1) all follow naturally from Jacobi sums; they insure that the character defined by  $\chi(g) = \zeta_e$  coincides with the particular *e*th-power residue symbol modulo p belonging to the field K [5]. Using Lehmer's u and v with normalized g makes the units translates of  $\delta'$  instead of  $\delta$ . Changing u and v seemed the lesser evil.

*Remark.* We were unable to find any infinite family of quintic fields with generalized delta units containing either p = 61 or p = 211. Furthermore, we were unable to make any progress on the conjecture of Schoof and Washington in [11] that all quintic fields with translation units are of Emma Lehmer's form (5.5).

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Paul Bachmann, Die Lehre von der Kreisteilung, Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin, 1927.
- 2. Leonard E. Dickson, Cyclotomy, higher congruences, and Waring's problem, Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 391-424.
- 3. Marie-Nicole Gras, Table numérique du nombre de classes et des unités des extensions cycliques de degré 4 de ℚ, Publ. Math. Fasc. 2, Fac. Sci. Besançon, 1977/1978.
- 4. Helmut Hasse, Arithmetische Bestimmung von Grundeinheit und Klassenzahl in zyklischen kubischen und biquadratischen Zahlkörpern, Math. Abhandlungen, vol. 3, Walter deGruyter, Berlin, 1975, pp. 285–379; originally published 1950.
- 5. S. A. Katre and A. R. Rajwade, Complete solution of the cyclotomic problem in  $F_q$  for any prime modulus l,  $q = p^{\alpha}$ ,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ , Acta Arith. **45** (1985), 183–199.
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_, Unique determination of cyclotomic numbers of order five, Manuscripta Math. 53 (1985), 65-75.
- 7. \_\_\_\_\_, Resolution of the sign ambiguity in the determination of the cyclotomic numbers of order 4 and the corresponding Jacobsthal sum, Math. Scand. 60 (1987), 52–62.
- 8. Andrew J. Lazarus, *Gaussian periods and units in certain cyclic fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 961–968.

- 9. D. H. Lehmer and Emma Lehmer, The Lehmer project, Math. Comp. 61 (1993), 313-317.
- 10. Emma Lehmer, Connection between Gaussian periods and cyclic units, Math. Comp. 50 (1988), 535-541.
- 11. René Schoof and Lawrence C. Washington, *Quintic polynomials and real cyclotomic fields* with large class numbers, Math. Comp. 50 (1988), 543-556.
- 12. Daniel Shanks, The simplest cubic fields, Math. Comp. 28 (1974), 1137-1152.
- 13. Thomas Storer, Cyclotomy and difference sets, Markham, Chicago, 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616 Current address: 2745 Elmwood Avenue, Berkeley, California 94705